

The reaction of 1,2:5,6-di-0-isopropylidene-α-D-ribo-hexofuranos-3-ulose with diazomethane*

ISIDORO IZQUIERDO CUBERO

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Granada, Granada (Spain)

(Received January 25th, 1982; accepted for publication, September 10th, 1982)

The reaction of diazomethane with aldoketoses and diketoses has been extensively used in the synthesis of branched-chain sugars² via spiro-epoxides. Sometimes, this reaction proceeds with ring expansion³, giving a deoxyaldoketose which, with diazomethane, yields the corresponding spiro-epoxides. In an application⁵ of this method to the title compound⁴ (1), the spiro-epoxide 2 was not isolated but transformed into the corresponding 3-C-hydroxymethyl derivative. We now report on the reaction of 1 with diazomethane and describe some ring-expansion products.

The reaction of 1 with diazomethane gave a mixture of *spiro*-epoxides that could be partially resolved by column chromatography. The compound of higher mobility was identified as $3,3^1$ -anhydro-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-glucofuranose (2), and transformed into 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-3-C-methyl- α -D-glucofuranose (6) by treatment with lithium aluminium hydride. The second component eluted was a crystalline 1:2 mixture of two compounds having retention times (T) in g.l.c. of 248 and 390 s. The major component was isolated from this mixture and identified as $3,3^1$ -anhydro-4,5-dideoxy-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2:7,8-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-octoseptanose (5). The component (3) having T 248 s could not be isolated, but reduction of the mixture allowed its identification as a 3-epimer of 4. The third component was isolated crystalline and, on the basis of its analytical and spectroscopic data, identified as $3,3^1$ -anhydro-4-deoxy-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2:6,7-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-heptopyranose (4).

The formation of 3-5 could involve ring expansion of 1 via A and B. Reaction of B (not isolated) with diazomethane would give 3 and 4. Ring expansion of B to give C (not isolated) followed by reaction with diazomethane would give 5. The 1 H-n.m.r. spectra of 4, 5, and the C-methyl derivative (7) of 3 contained doublets for H-2 at δ 3.80, 3.68, and 4.11 (assignments based on double-resonance experiments), respectively, indicating coupling only with the anomeric proton, in accord with the proposed ring-expansion. (cf. ref. 3).

^{*}Branched-chain Sugars, Part IV. For Part III, see ref. 1.

The reaction of 3–5 severally with lithium aluminium hydride in ether gave the corresponding 3-C-methyl derivatives 7–9. The configurations of 3–5 and 7–9 have not been established, but some spectroscopic data suggest that 7 and 8 have D-gluco and D-allo configurations, respectively. Thus, the ¹H-n.m.r. spectrum of 7 contained signals for H-1 and H-2 at δ 5.67 and 4.11, whereas, for 8, these signals were shifted upfield by 0.09 and 0.11 p.p.m.; this could reflect a deshielding by HO-3 in the D-gluco compound 7, which must adopt a distorted ${}^{1}C_{4}(D)$ conformation (due to the presence of a 1,2-cis-fused ring⁷), in which the bulky group at C-5 and Me-3 must occupy quasi-equatorial positions and bring HO-3 close to H-1.2. A similar effect was also observed in 6, where the signals for H-1 and H-2 were shifted downfield by 0.08 and 0.11 p.p.m., respectively, in comparison with the corresponding signals for the D-allo isomer⁸. The chemical shift of the signal for the endo methyl group attached to the 1,2-dioxolane ring in 7 and 8 (δ 1.54 and 1.60) accorded with literature data⁹. This difference (0.06 p.p.m.) in chemical shifts could be due to a deshielding effect of HO-3 in the D-allo compound.

The configurations of **5** and **9** were not established, but *p-allo* configurations would be expected on the basis of previous results^{3a}. A dilute solution of **9** in carbon tetrachloride showed an i.r. band at 3570 cm⁻¹ (HO-3), which is shifted by 50 cm⁻¹ from the position of the normal absorption (3620 cm⁻¹) of a tertiary hydroxyl group

and which may reflect intramolecular hydrogen-bonding¹⁰ between HO-3 and O-2, as would be expected for the D-allo compound.

The high stereoselectivity of the reaction of diazomethane with 1 to give 2 may be explained 1 by the stabilisation of the diazomethyl cation-intermediate A by O-2 (Scheme 1).

The reaction of 2 and 5 with ammonia in methanol opened the oxirane ring^{10,12} at C-3 to give 10 and 11 in quantitative yield. Acetylation of 10 gave the known⁵ N-acetyl derivative 12.

The mass-spectral data for the compounds described accorded with those reported¹³ for compounds of similar structure.

EXPERIMENTAL

General methods. — Melting points were determined with a Reichter hotplate microscope and are uncorrected. Solutions were concentrated, after drying over MgSO₄, under diminished pressure. $^1\text{H-N.m.r.}$ spectra (60 MHz, internal Me₄Si) were recorded with a Perkin–Elmer R-20B spectrometer for solutions in CDCl₃. I.r. spectra were recorded with a Pye–Unicam SP 1000 spectrophotometer, and mass spectra with a Hewlett–Packard 5930A instrument. Optical rotations were measured for solutions in chloroform (1-dm tube) with a Perkin–Elmer 141 polarimeter. G.l.c. was performed with a Carlo–Erba Fractovap G gas chromatograph equipped with a flame-ionisation detector and a glass column (2 m × 1.75 mm i.d.) packed with 3% of SE-52 on Chromosorb G (100–120 mesh) and kept at 180°; T values are reported in s. The N₂ flow-rate was 30 mL/min, the injection-port temperature 200°, and the zone-detector temperature 210°. R_F values are reported for t.l.c. performed on Silica Gel G (Merck) with ether–hexane (1:1) and detection by charring with sulfuric acid. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck, 7734). Microanalyses were performed with a Carlo–Erba Elemental Analyzer Model 1106.

Reaction of 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-ribo-hexofuranos-3-ulose (1) with diazomethane. — To a solution of 1 (3.5 g, 13.6 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) at room temperature was added ethereal 2.8 % diazomethane, portionwise, until the mixture remained yellow (50 mL). The solution was stored overnight at room temperature. T.l.c. then revealed three components, $R_{\rm F}$ 0.40, 0.34, and 0.23. Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue (4 g) that was subjected to column chromatography (ether-hexane 1:5→1:3), to give, first, 3,3¹-anhydro-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose (2; 1.5 g, 40.3%), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +42° (c 1.6), +52° (c 1.7, methanol); lit.⁴ $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +55° (methanol); $R_{\rm F}$ 0.40, T 148, $v_{\rm max}^{\rm film}$ 1370 and 1380 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.97 (d, $J_{1,2}$ 4 Hz, H-1), 3.41 (m, 1 H, H-5), 4.29 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.06 (s, 2 H, H-6,6′), 4.00 (d, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 1.3 Hz, H-4), 3.19 (d, 1 H, $J_{31a,31b}$ 4.5 Hz, H-3¹a), 3.08 (d, 1 H, H-3¹b), and 1.58, 1.42, and 1.34 (3 s, 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1:2, 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 272 (M⁺), 257 (M⁺ — Me), 199 (M⁺ — Me — Me₂CO), 139 (M⁺ — Me — Me₂CO — AcOH), 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Eluted second was a semicrystalline residue (0.9 g), $[\alpha]_D = 25^\circ$ (c 1.7), R_1 0.34, T 248 (3, minor) and 390 (5, major). Two recrystallisations from hexane gave 3.31-anhydro-4,5-dideoxy-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2:7,8-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-octoseptanose (5, 340 mg), m.p. 94-95°, $[\alpha]_D = 36^\circ$ (c 1); $v_{max}^{\rm kBr} = 1365$, 1375, and 1380 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.56 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.26-3.62 (m, 4 H, H-6,7,8.8′), 3.68 (d, 1 H, H-2), 2.78 (s, 2 H, H-3¹a,3¹b), 2.58-1.25 (m, 4 H, H-4.5,4¹.5′), and 1.75, 1.48, and 1.41 (3 s, 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1:2, 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: $m \approx 285$ (M⁺ Me), 227 (M⁺ Me - Me₂CO), 199 (M⁺ C₅H₉O₂), 184 (M⁺ Me - C₅H₉O₂), 167 (M⁺ - Me - Me₂CO - AcOH), 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₈O₂⁺), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₆O₆: C, 59.99; H, 8.05. Found: C, 60.23; H, 8.15.

Eluted third was a compound (0.2 g, 5.2 $^{\circ}_{0}$) that was recrystallised from hexane, to give 3,3\(^1\)-anhydro-4-deoxy-3-C-hydroxymethyl-1,2\(^1\)6,7-di-O-isopropylidene-\$\alpha\$-D-allo-heptopyranose (4), m.p. 119-120 \(^1\), \[[\alpha]_{D}\] -26 \(^1\)6,7-di-O-isopropylidene-\$\alpha\$-295, \$\varphi_{\text{max}}^{\text{BB}}\$ 1380 and 1395 cm \(^{-1}\) (CMe_2). N.m.r. data: δ 5.66 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 4.5 Hz, H-1), 4.30-3.70 (m, 4 H, H-5,6,7,7'), 3.80 (d, 1 H, H-2), 2.77 (s, 2 H, H-3\(^1\)a.3\(^1\)b), 1.36 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 6, $J_{4,4'}$ 12 Hz, H-4), 1.74 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 3.0 Hz, H-4'), and 1.62, 1.43, and 1.35 (3 s, 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1:2, 2 CMe_2). Mass spectrum: m z 271 (M \(^{+}\) Me), 213 (M\(^{+}\) - Me \(^{+}\) - Me_2CO\(^{+}\), 101 (C_5 H₉O\(^{+}\)), 85 (C_4 H₈O\(^{+}\)), 59 (Me₂COH\(^{+}\)), and 43 (Ac\(^{+}\)).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₂₂O₆: C, 58.72; H, 7.75. Found: C, 58.97, H. 7.78.

1,2:5,6-Di-O-isopropylidene-3-C-methyl-α-D-glucofuranose (6). To a stirred suspension of lithium aluminium hydride (0.2 g) in anhydrous ether (10 mL) was added a solution of **2** (272 mg, 1 mmol) in the same solvent (10 mL), and the mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h. T.l.c. then revealed the absence of **2** and the presence of a new compound. $R_{\rm F}$ 0.34. The excess of hydride was decomposed with aqueous ammonium chloride, the ethereal layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether (2 × 10 mL). The combined ether solutions were concentrated, to give **6** (260 mg, 95°₀), m.p. 65–66° (from hexane), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +22 (c 1.1, acetone); lit.⁴ m.p. 66–67°, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +23° (c 1, acetone); $R_{\rm I}$ 0.34, T 148; $v_{\rm max}^{\rm kBr}$ 3440 (OH), 1385, and 1370 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.88 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.8 Hz, H-1), 4.24 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.54–3.70 (m, 4 H, H-4,5.6,6′), 2.53 (s, 1 H, HO-3), and 1.52, 1.47, 1.39, and 1.36 (4 s, 15 H, intensity ratios 1:2:1:1, Me-3 and 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: $m \approx 2.74$ (M[±]), 259 (M⁺ - Me), 220, 201 (M[±] - Me - Me₂CO), 173 (M[±] - C₅H₉O₂), 159 (M⁺ - Me - Me₂CO - AcOH). 143 (C₇H₁₁O₃³), 141, 131 (C₆H₁₁O₃⁴), 101 (C₅H₉O₂[±]), 100 (C₅H₈O₂[±]), 85 (C₄H₅O₂[±]), 59 (Me₂COH[±]), and 43 (Ac[±]).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₂₂O₆: C, 56.92; H, 8.08. Found: C, 57.07; H, 8.44.

4-Deoxy-1,2:6,7-di-O-isopropylidene-3-C-methyl-α-D-allo-heptopyranose (8), - Reduction of **4** (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) in anhydrous ether (10 mL) with lithium aluminium hydride (100 mg), as described above, gave **8** (50 mg, quantitative), m.p. 123-124 (from hexane), $[\alpha]_D = 20.5$ (c 1.15), R_f 0.15; v_{max}^{KBr} 3500 (OH), 1385, and 1375 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.58 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.29–3.70 (m, 4 H, H-5,6,7,7′), 4.00 (d, 1 H, H-2), 2.48 (s, 1 H, HO-3), 2.00–1.75 (m, 2 H, H-4.4′), and 1.60, 1.47.

1.44, 1.36, and 1.31 (5 s, 15 H, Me-3 and 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 272 (M⁺ – Me), 259, 215 (M⁺ – Me – Me₂CO), 187 (M⁺ – C₅H₉O₂), 162 (M⁺ – Me – C₅H₉O₂), 155 (M⁺ – Me – Me₂CO – AcOH), 129, 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{14}H_{24}O_6$: C, 58.31; H, 8.39. Found: C, 58.94; H, 8.25.

Reduction of a mixture of 3 and 5. — Reduction of the mixture of 3 and 5 (550 mg) described above with lithium aluminium hydride (0.2 g) in anhydrous ether (20 mL), as described above, gave a mixture of two substances, R_F 0.20 and 0.10. Column chromatography of this mixture (ether-hexane 1:2 \rightarrow 1:1) gave, first, 4,5-dideoxy-1,2:7,8-di-O-isopropylidene-3-C-methyl-α-D-octoseptanose (9, 290 mg), m.p. 83–85° (from hexane), $[\alpha]_D$ –35° (c 1.34), R_F 0.20, T 155; v_{max}^{KBr} 3430 (OH), 1390, 1385, and 1380 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.48 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 4.5 Hz, H-1), 4.11–3.64 (m, 4 H, H-6,7,8,8'), 4.04 (d, 1 H, H-2), 2.64 (s, 1 H, HO-3), 2.20–1.50 (m, 4 H, H-4,5,4',5'), and 1.61 and 1.36 (2 s, 15 H, intensity ratio 1:4, Me-3 and 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 287 (M⁺ — Me), 234, 229 (M⁺ — Me — Me₂CO), 187 (M⁺ — Me — C₅H₈O₂), 186 (M⁺ — Me — C₅H₉O₂), 169 (M⁺ — Me — Me₂CO — AcOH), 151, 143, 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 100 (C₅H₈O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Anal. Calc. for C_{1.5}H₂₆O₆: C, 59.58; H, 8.57. Found: C, 59.94; H, 9.05.

Eluted second was syrupy 4-deoxy-1,2:6,7-di-O-isopropylidene-3-C-methyl- α -D-gluco-heptopyranose (7, 231 mg), $[\alpha]_D$ –23° (c 1.16), R_F 0.10, T 130; v_{max}^{film} 3490 (OH), 1385, and 1370 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.67 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.36–3.59 (m, 4 H, H-5,6,7,7′), 4.11 (d, 1 H, H-2), 2.60 (s, 1 H, HO-3), 2.00–1.78 (m, 2 H, H-4,4′) and 1.54, 1.48, 1.36, and 1.32 (4 s, 15 H, intensity ratios 1:1:2:1, Me-3 and 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 287 (M⁺ – 1), 273 (M⁺ – Me), 215 (M⁺ – Me – Me₂CO), 213 (M⁺ – Me – AcOH), 187 (M⁺ – C₅H₉O₂), 173 (M⁺ – Me – C₅H₈O₂), 155 (M⁺ – Mc – Me₂CO – AcOH), 129, 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 100 (C₅H₈O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₂₄O₆: C, 58.31; H, 8.39. Found: C, 58.24; H, 8.02.

3-C-Aminomethyl-1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose (10) and 3-C-aminomethyl-4,5-dideoxy-1,2:7,8-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-octoseptanose (11). — A solution of 2 (544 mg, 2 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) containing ammonia (3%) was heated in a sealed tube at 100° for 1 h. T.l.c. then revealed the absence of 2, and an immobile substance. Evaporation of the solvent gave a crystalline residue (560 mg, quantitative) that was recrystallised from ether, to yield 10 (430 mg, 74%), m.p. 113–114°, $[\alpha]_D +20^\circ$ (c 1.3), $[\alpha]_D +31^\circ$ (c 1.24, methanol); lit.⁴ m.p. 114–115°, $[\alpha]_D +28.8^\circ$ (c 1, methanol); v_{max}^{EBP} 3480 (OH), 3320 and 3260 (NH₂), 1615 (NH₂), and 1380 and 1365 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 5.91 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.5 Hz, H-1), 4.41–3.62 (m, 4 H, H-4,5,6,6′), 3.34 (d, 1 H, H-2), 3.19 (d, 1 H, $J_{3_{1a},3_{1b}}$ 12 Hz, H-3¹a), 2.92 (d, 1 H, H-3¹b), 2.48 (s, 3 H, HO-3, NH₂), and 1.52, 1.43, 1.38, and 1.33 (4 s, 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1:1:1, 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 290 (M⁺ – 1), 274 (M⁺ – Me), 216 (M⁺ – Me – Me₂CO), 214 (M⁺ – Me – AcOH), 188

316 NOIE

 $(M^{+} - C_{5}H_{9}O_{2})$, 158 $(M^{+} - C_{7}H_{12}NO_{3})$, 156 $(M^{+} - Me - Me_{2}CO - AcOH)$. 101 $(C_{5}H_{9}O_{2}^{+})$, 100 $(C_{5}H_{8}O_{2}^{+})$, 85 $(C_{4}H_{5}O_{2}^{+})$, 59 $(Me_{2}COH^{+})$, and 43 (Ac^{+}) .

Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₂₃NO₆: C, 53.94; H, 8.02; N, 4.84. Found: C, 54.14; H, 8.10; N, 4.73.

Acetylation of **10** (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) in the usual manner gave the 3-*C*-acetamidomethyl derivative **12** (85 mg, 74°₀), m.p. 119-120 (from hexane), $[\alpha]_D$ +65° (c 1.5), $[\alpha]_D$ +62° (c 1.15, ethanol); lit. m.p. 120-121 , $[\alpha]_D$ -63.8° (ethanol); v_{max}^{KBr} 3300 (OH), 3100 (NH), 1660 and 1575 (NHAc), and 1385 and 1380 cm⁻¹ (CMe₂). N.m.r. data: δ 6.60 (bs, 1 H, NH), 5.83 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.75 Hz, H-1), 4.77 (bs, 1 H, HO-3), 4.43 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.47–3.46 (m, 6 H, H-3¹a.3¹b,4,5.6.6'), 2.00 (s, 3 H, AcN), 1.45, 1.36, 1.31, and 1.28 (4 s. 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1 1 1, 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 332 (M⁺), 316 (M⁺ Me), 258 (M⁺ Me - Me₂CO), 216 (M⁺ - Me - $C_5H_8O_2$), 200 ($C_9H_{14}NO_4$), 100 ($C_5H_8O_2$), 85 ($C_4H_5O_2$), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₅NO₇: C, 54.37; H, 7.61; N, 4.23. Found: C, 54.45; H, 7.60; N, 3.96.

Compound **5** (160 mg, 0.3 mmol) was transformed into the 3-*C*-aminomethyl derivative as described above for **2**. Evaporation of the solvent gave **11** as a syrup (160 mg), $[\alpha]_D = 29^+$ (*c* 1.1). N.m.r. data: δ 5.54 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 4.5 Hz, H-1). 4.41-3.41 (m, 5 H, H-2,6.7,8.8′), 2.78 (s, 2 H, H-3¹a,3¹b), 2.47 (s, HO-3 and NH₂), 2.26 1.19 (m, 4 H, H-4,5,4′,5′), and 1.57, 1.36, and 1.30 (3 s. 12 H, intensity ratios 1:1:2, 2 CMe₂). Mass spectrum: m/z 317 (M⁺), 302 (M⁺ — Me), 244 (M — Me Me₂CO), 242 (M⁺ — Me — AcOH), 229, 184 (M⁺ — Me — Me₂CO — AcOH), 166, 129, 101 (C₅H₉O₂⁺), 100 (C₅H₈O₂⁺), 85 (C₄H₅O₂⁺), 59 (Me₂COH⁺), and 43 (Ac⁺).

REFERENCES

- 1 I. IZQUIERDO CUBERO AND R. MARTINEZ MARTINEZ, Carbohydi. Res., 105 (1982) 181-188.
- 2 H. Grisebach and R. Schmid, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 11 (1972) 159-248
- 3 (a) B. Flaherty, W. G. Overend, and N. R. Williams, Chem. Commun., (1966) 434-436; (b) S. Nahar, W. G. Overend, and N. R. Williams, Chem. Ind. (London), (1967) 2114-2115; (c) J. D. Horwitz, N. Mody, and R. Gasser, J. Org. Chem., 35 (1970) 2335-2339; (d) T. D. Inch, G. J. Lewis, R. P. Pell, and N. R. Williams, Chem. Commun., (1970) 1549-1550; (e) B. Flaherty, S. Nahar, W. G. Overend, and N. R. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1973) 632-638.
- 4 K. ONODERA, S. HIRANO, AND N. KASHIMURA, Carbohydr. Rev., 6 (1968) 276-285.
- 5 J. YOSHIMURA, K. KOBAYASHI, K.-I. SATO, AND M. FUNABASHI, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 45 (1972) 1806–1812.
- 6 M. FUNABASHI, H. SATO, AND J. YOSHIMURA, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 49 (1976) 788-790.
- 7 T. MAEDA, K. TORI, S. SATOH, AND K. TOKUNAMA, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 42 (1969) 2635–2647.
- 8 H. PAULSIN, V. SINNWILL, AND P. STADLER, Chem. Ber., 105 (1972) 1978-1988
- 9 R. D. KING AND W. G. OVEREND, Carbohydr. Res., 9 (1969) 423-428.
- 10 R. J. Ferrier, W. G. Overend, G. A. Rafferey, H. M. Wall, and N. R. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., C, (1968) 1091–1095.
- 11 K.-I. SATO AND J. YOSHIMURA, Carbohydr. Res., 73 (1979) 75-84.
- 12 J. Yoshimura, K.-I. Sato, K. Kobayashi, and Ch. Shin, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 46 (1973) 1515–1519.
- 13 D. C. DEJONGH AND K. BIFMANN, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86 (1964) 67-74